ATTORNEY

Ark 21 2009 GRE

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

TENDERED FOR FILING

ORIGINAL

LEO NARD GREEN, Clerk

April 20, 2009

APR 2 1 2009

LEONARD GREEN, Clerk

The Honorable U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit 540 Potter Stewart U.S. Courthouse 100 East Fifth Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Re: Nat'l Cotton Council of Am. v. U.S. Envtl. Prot. Agency, No. 06-4630 (and consolidated cases); Amicus Letter of Todd Staples, Texas Commissioner of Agriculture

To the Honorable U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit:

Todd Staples, Texas Commissioner of Agriculture, respectfully submits the following amicus letter in support of the position of Respondent-Intervenors American Farm Bureau Federation, et al., as stated in Respondent-Intervenors' Petition for Panel Rehearing and Rehearing En Banc.

A. The Texas Department of Agriculture

The Texas Department of Agriculture ("TDA") is the agency of the State of Texas responsible for encouraging "the proper development and promotion of agriculture, horticulture, and other industries that grow, process, or produce products" in the state. Tex. Agric. Code § 12.002 (Vernon 2004). The TDA is tasked with maintaining an economic development program for rural areas, *id.* § 12.027, and is

responsible for programs focusing on agricultural research and promotion.¹ In Texas, the TDA is the "lead agency in the regulation of pesticide use and application and is responsible for coordinating activities of state agencies" with respect to pesticide and herbicide regulation. *Id.* § 76.101(a), *see generally* TEX. AGRIC. CODE § 76.001, *et seq.* (Vernon 2004 & Supp. 2008). TDA is also responsible for submitting the State's plan for the licensing of pesticide applicators to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"). *Id.* § 76.101(a).

B. The Commissioner of Agriculture

The Texas Commissioner of Agriculture is a state official elected for a term of four years by statewide election. *Id.* § 11.004. Commissioner of Agriculture Todd Staples is responsible for directing the TDA and for "exercising the powers and performing the duties assigned to the department" by law. *Id.* § 11.001.

C. The Texas Pesticide Program

Texas has an extensive program of pesticide regulation. Texas law prohibits a person from using a restricted-use or state-limited-use pesticide or regulated herbicide unless licensed or certified by the TDA. *Id.* § 76.105. Applicators must

¹ See, e.g., TEX. AGRIC. CODE ch. 18 (relating to organic and agricultural product certification), chs. 41-50 (relating to agricultural research and promotional programs), and chs. 71-79 (relating to control of horticultural diseases and pests) (Vernon 2004 & Supp. 2008).

keep records of pesticide applications for two years. *Id.* § 76.114. Commercial applicators must have insurance and register their equipment. *Id.* § 76.111(c). All applicators must obtain continuing education units to renew their license. Tex. AGRIC. CODE § 76.113(d) (Vernon Supp. 2008).

D. State-Federal Relations

This regulatory program involves significant state-federal interaction. Section 24 of the Federal, Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act ("FIFRA") provides that a state may regulate the sale or use of a federally registered pesticide or device, but only if and to the extent the regulation does not permit any sale or use prohibited by FIFRA. See 7 U.S.C. § 136v. Additionally, Section 23 of FIFRA provides that the EPA Administrator may enter into a cooperative agreement with a state to delegate authority to cooperate in the enforcement of FIFRA. See 7 U.S.C. § 136u.

TDA, as the lead agency for pesticide regulation in Texas, enters into a cooperative agreement with the EPA each year to administer the enforcement of federal pesticide laws and regulations. TDA maintains a State Plan that provides for the certification and recertification of pesticide applicators, and licenses more than 66,000 pesticide applicators.² There is no data to show the number of individual

² TEXAS DEP'T OF AGRICULTURE; BRIDGE and Structural Licensing Systems (April 20, 2009) (internal database printout, available from Jimmy Bush, Asst. Comm'r for Pesticide Programs, TDA).

pesticide applications conducted in Texas, but because of the population and agricultural diversity of the State, the number is probably in the hundreds of thousands each year.

Additional permitting or regulatory requirements for pesticides would have a negative impact on the TDA's capability to perform its regulatory responsibilities adequately and would jeopardize the agency's effectiveness in protecting human health and the environment. Certified or licensed applicators in Texas must complete training requirements. See TEX. AGRIC. CODE § 76.113(d) (Vernon Supp. 2008). TDA would be faced with the dilemma of having to allocate additional resources to conduct its training and regulatory activities with no assurance that any increased federal funding would be forthcoming. TDA could be forced to reduce or eliminate pesticide program services, or to use its resources in the permitting process, with little or no funding left for enforcement and oversight. TDA has no data supporting the proposition that requiring a pesticide applicator to obtain an NPDES permit, besides meeting current training requirements, would further the Clean Water Act's objectives of restoring and maintaining the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of the nation's waters.

E. Effect on the Agriculture Industry

A vibrant agriculture industry is vital to economic stability, the viability of

rural communities and the sustainability of a safe and abundant food supply for the nation and the world. The economic impact of agriculture in Texas exceeds \$100 billion per annum and it is a crucial part of the state's economy.³ Texas producers have a longstanding reputation of being good stewards of the state's natural resources.

Treating pesticide application as the discharge of a pollutant from a point source would hinder the voluntary and regulatory efforts that have allowed Texas agricultural producers to sustain production while ensuring the protection of water quality and public health. These efforts provide the best protection of the State's waters from impairment due to pesticide use. Vacating the EPA's Final Rule on aquatic pesticides, and extending the analysis to terrestrial applications, would place agricultural producers in legal jeopardy under the citizens' suit provisions of the federal Clean Water Act.

If the Final Rule is vacated, agricultural production in Texas will suffer tremendously at a time when the economic downturn and ongoing drought conditions

³ See NATIONAL AGRICULTURE STATISTICS SERVICE, 2007 CENSUS OF AGRICULTURE (available at www.nass.usda.gov); NATIONAL AGRICULTURE STATISTICS SERVICE, QUICK STATS (available at http://www.nass.usda.gov/Data_and_Statistics/Quick_Stats/index.asp); AGRILIFE RESEARCH & EXTENSION, TEXAS A&M UNIV. SYSTEM, pub. MKT 3579, THE FOOD & FIBER SYSTEM AND PRODUCTION AGRICULTURE'S CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE TEXAS ECONOMY (Jan. 2009).

already strain the viability of many producers. Public health will be at greater risk because of delays in permitting pesticide applications needed to prevent or respond to mosquito infestations. Natural resources may be degraded due to additional regulatory burdens that hinder the control of invasive species.

Current regulatory programs in Texas show that the use of pesticides in agriculture gives producers the tools to combat weeds and pests without posing unreasonable risks to the environment. Further regulatory constraints will cause unnecessary delays and expense to both the state and the producers, and will not add to the protection of natural resources or the public health.

Because of the interests and concerns stated above, Commissioner Staples supports the position of Respondent-Intervenors American Farm Bureau Federation, et al., as stated in Respondent-Intervenors' Petition for Panel Rehearing and Rehearing En Banc, and requests that rehearing be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

BARBARA B. DEANE Chief, Environmental Protection and Administrative Law Division

DAVID PREISTER
Chief, Environmental Protection Section

> GEORGE THOMAS BOHL Assistant Attorney General Texas Bar No. 02564200

THOMAS H. EDWARDS Assistant Attorney General Texas Bar No. 06461800

Environmental Protection and Administrative Law Division Office of the Attorney General State of Texas

P. O. Box 12548, Capitol Station Austin, Texas 78711-2548 Tel: (512) 463-2012 Fax: (512) 320-0052

ATTORNEYS FOR AMICUS CURIAE TODD STAPLES, TEXAS COMMISSIONER OF AGRICULTURE

Of Counsel:

DOLORES ALVARADO HIBBS General Counsel Texas Department of Agriculture 1700 N. Congress, 11th Floor Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 463-7476

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on April 20, 2009, the preceding document was forwarded by U.S. Mail to the following attorneys of record in the referenced case:

Ken Weirstein
Claudia O'Brien
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
555 Eleventh Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004
Counsel for CropLife America, RISE
(Responsible Industry for a Sound
Environment), Illinois Fertilizer &
Chemical Association and Southern
Crop Production Association

Daniel W. Van Horn
Erin P. Lane
BUTLER, SNOW, O'MARA,
STEVENS & CANNADA, PLLC
210 East Capitol Street
P.O. Box 22567
Jackson, MS 39225-2567
Counsel for Delta Council

Ellen Steen
Jessica Hall
Antonio Mendoza
CROWELL & MORING, LLP
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004
Counsel for American Farm Bureau
Federation and American Forest &
Paper Association

David P. Schneider
BRESSLER, AMERY & ROSS, P.C.
325 Columbia Turnpike
Florham Park, NJ 07932
P. O. Box 1980
Morristown, NJ 07962
Counsel for BASF Corporation and
FMC Corporation

Daniel W. Van Horn Amy M. Pepke BUTLER, SNOW, O'MARA, STEVENS & CANNADA, PLLC 6075 Poplar Avenue, Suite 500 Memphis, TN 38119 Counsel for The National Cotton Council of America W. Andrew Copenhaver
W. Ellis Boyle
WOMBLE CARLYLE SANDRIDGE
& RICE, PLLC
One West Fourth Street
Winston-Salem, NC 27101
Counsel for Syngenta Crop
Protection, Inc. and Bayer
CropScience, L.P.

James L. Pray
BROWN, WINICK, GRAVES,
GROSS, BASKERVILLE &
SCHOENEBAUM, PLC
666 Grand Avenue, Suite 2000
Des Moines, IA 50309-2510
Counsel for Agribusiness Association
of Iowa and Eldon C. Stutsman, Inc.

THOMAS H EDWARDS

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 32(a)

Certificate of Compliance with Type-Volume Limitation, Typeface Requirements, and Type Style Requirements

l. P. 32(amicus letter complies with the type-volume limitation of Fed. R. App. B) because:
		this letter contains <u>1,156</u> words, excluding the parts exempted by Fed. R. App. P. 32(a)(7)(B)(iii), or
		this letter uses a monospaced typeface and contains lines of text, excluding the parts of the brief exempted by Fed. R. App. P. 32(a)(7)(B)(iii).
2. 32(a)		letter complies with the typeface requirements of Fed. R. App. P. I the type style requirements of Fed. R. App. P. 32(a)(6) because:
		this letter has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using Corel WordPerfect ver. 12.0. in 14 pt. Times New Roman font, or
		this letter has been prepared in a monospaced typeface using word processing program with font.
		THOMAS H. EDWARDS Attorney for Amicus Curiae Todd Staples, Texas Commissioner of Agriculture
Date	4.	Paril 20 2009